The Crystal Structure of Potassium Metavanadate Monohydrate, KVO₃. H₂O*

BY C. L. CHRIST, JOAN R. CLARK AND H. T. EVANS, JR.

U.S. Geological Survey, Washington 25, D.C., U.S.A.

(Received 11 May 1954)

 KVO_3 . $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$ is orthorhombic, *Pnam*, $a = 8\cdot15_1$, $b = 13\cdot58_6$, $c = 3\cdot69_7$ Å, Z = 4. A trial structure, established by the use of a vector-shift method applied to the Patterson projection on (001), was refined by electron-density projections (including bounded projections) and by least-squares analysis. In the structure each vanadium atom is linked to five oxygen atoms to form a distorted trigonal dipyramid; the polyhedra so formed share edges to form continuous chains parallel to the c axis. This fivefold coordination is analogous to that which exists in $\mathrm{V}_2\mathrm{O}_5$.

Introduction

When vanadium pentoxide is dissolved in a solution of potassium hydroxide, and the solution is maintained at a pH between 6.5 and 8, clear colorless needles of both potassium metavanadate, KVO₃, and its monohydrate, KVO₃.H₂O, are readily produced on concentration and cooling. This pH range comprises the so-called 'metavanadate' stability range, in contrast with the range of pH > 10 corresponding to the 'orthovanadates', the range from pH 8 to 10 corresponding to the 'pyrovanadates', and the range corresponding to the orange 'polyvanadates' from pH 6.5to the isoelectric point at pH 1.6, at which point brown V₂O₅ hydrates precipitate. The system Na₂O- $V_{2}O_{5}-H_{2}O_{5}$, which is characterized in a general way by the stability ranges referred to, has been the subject of considerable study of various workers and by various physical chemical methods [see for example Düllberg (1903), Jander & Jahr (1933), Souchay & Carpeni (1946), Ducret (1951)]. Although the reactions involved are usually considered to be a series of successive condensations toward higher molecular weight complexes with increasing acidity, no details have been established to date concerning any of the molecular structures, or any of the mechanisms involved. In the U.S. Geological Survey laboratories we are making an attempt to approach the problem of the constitution and interrelation of the many phases present in the system K₂O-V₂O₅-H₂O by means of crystal-structure analysis of the solids that appear. In this paper the crystal structure of KVO₃. H₂O is described in detail [a preliminary account has been given in Christ, Clark & Evans (1953)]. A study of the structure of KVO₃ has been completed and will be described in a forthcoming article.

 KVO_3 and KVO_3 . H_2O , although their crystal structures have been revealed to be entirely different, are similar in chemical and physical properties and mode of genesis. Both are sparingly soluble in cold water and readily soluble in hot water, and both have pronounced fibrous cleavage. When a potassium metavanadate solution is rapidly cooled, a crystalline precipitate characterized by fine hairlike needles appears, which is mainly KVO₃. As the rate of cooling slows at lower temperatures, needles of similar habit of KVO_3 . H₂O are also formed. On very slow crystallization by evaporation, radiating groups of blunt rods of KVO_3 . H₂O are produced, sometimes simultaneously and in contact with stubby, pseudo-octahedral crystals of KVO_3 . KVO_3 . H₂O apparently converts to KVO_3 on grinding.

 KVO_3 . H_2O was first recognized by Norblad (1875) and was noted by Fock (1889), but otherwise to our knowledge it is not mentioned in the literature.

Experimental work

Preparation of crystals and chemical analysis

At the beginning of this investigation it was believed that the two compounds crystallizing in the pH range between 6.5 and 8 were polymorphic forms of KVO₃. The structure analysis, however, soon showed clearly that the substance dealt with here was a monohydrate and was entirely consistent with a compound of formula KVO₃. H₂O. With this in mind it was then possible to resolve the difficulties in chemical analysis that arose from dealing with a mixture. By very slow crystallization, mixtures were prepared containing crystals sufficiently large to ensure efficient separation. An analysis of the KVO₃. H₂O obtained in this way is given below:

	Found (%)	Theoretical (%)
K"O	30.24	30.18
V.0.	58.40	58.28
H ₂ O	11.64	11.54
Total	100.28	100.00

(Analyst, George B. Magin, Jr., U.S. Geological Survey.)

The typical blunt-rod habit of KVO_3 . H_2O is shown in Fig. 1.

^{*} Publication authorized by the Director, U.S. Geological Survey.

Space group and unit-cell dimensions

Zero- and upper-level photographs around [001], made on both Weissenberg and precession cameras and



Fig. 1. Typical blunt-rod crystal of KVO₃. H₂O.

with both zirconium-filtered Mo $K\alpha$ (Mo/Zr) and nickelfiltered Cu $K\alpha$ (Cu/Ni) radiations, were used to establish the lattice type and symmetry. Systematic extinctions were found to be of the type h0l, $h \neq 2n$, and 0kl, $k+l \neq 2n$. These lead to the space groups $Pnam-D_{2h}^{16}$ or $Pna-C_{2v}^9$. Visual examination of the reflections obtained on rotation patterns made around [001] establishes that corresponding reflections on all even-layer lines are similar, as are those on all oddlayer lines. It follows that in this structure most atoms

Table 1. X-ray powder data for KVO₃. H₂O and KVO₃

These data correspond to a mixture of KVO_3 . H_2O and KVO_3 that is obtained when crystals of KVO_3 . H_2O are powdered. The d_{hkl} values for KVO_3 . H_2O are calculated from the lattice constants given in the text; the d_{hkl} values for KVO_3 are derived from the following data: orthorhombic $a = 5\cdot70, b = 10\cdot82, c = 5\cdot22$ Å. The lines were indexed with the help of a KVO_3 powder pattern. Cu/Ni radiation $\lambda = 1\cdot5418$ Å was used. Data are listed only for $d_{hkl} > 2\cdot00$ Å. The lines corresponding to KVO_3 are so indicated, the other lines being due to KVO_3 . H_2O .

$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\epsilon}$	Measured Calculated						
Ī	d_{hkl} (Å)	d_{hkl} (Å)	hkl				
15	7.00	6.99	110				
5	5.39	5.41	020	KVO.			
9	5.20	5.22	001	KVO			
		5.22	120	5			
10	3.910	3.904	210				
		3.924	120	KVO,			
8	3.748	3.756	021	KVO,			
10	3.488	3.495	220				
7	$3 \cdot 262$	3.268	111				
14	3.128	3.135	140				
		3.136	121	KVO.			
11	3.024	3.017	121	3			
		3.030	230				
13	2.854	$2 \cdot 850$	200	KVO ₃			
		2.864	031	Ŭ			
4	2.735	2.738	201				
11	2.680	2.684	211				
		2.702	131				
8	2.607	2.609	240				
•		2.610	002	KVO.			
7	2.526	2.521	220	KVO.			
•	- 0-0	2.523	320	11,03			
8	2.440	2.437	211	KVO.			
5	2.402	2.402	041	KVO.			
7	2.342	2.343	231	53			
7	2.302	2.318	112	KVO.			
9	2.257	2.261	250	03			
	- 201	2.264	060				
4	2.179	2.182	160				
4	2.125	2.122	340				
จิ	2.043	2,038	400				

are situated on planes parallel to (001) and $\frac{1}{2}c$ apart. This fact suggests that all the atoms lie on mirror planes in the space group *Pnam*, and on this assumption a satisfactory structure has been determined.

Lattice-constant measurements were made with a precession camera, the crystal-to-film distance of which had been accurately calibrated by use of a quartz crystal. Patterns of the (h0l) and (0kl) zones were prepared using Mo/Zr radiation and were corrected for horizontal and vertical shrinkage. The values of the cell edges derived from these patterns were checked against those derived from a powder pattern made with Cu/Ni radiation. The powder data are given in Table 1. As KVO_3 . H_2O converts to KVO_3 on grinding, it was impossible to prepare a powder pattern of KVO_3 . H_2O only; the data of Table 1 correspond to the mixture. The crystallographic data for KVO_3 . H_2O are given below:

Orthorhombic; space group: $Pnam-D_{2h}^{16}$. $a = 8.15_1 \pm 0.008, b = 13.58_6 \pm 0.010, c = 3.69_7 \pm 0.004$ Å.

(Mo λ : $K\alpha = 0.71069$ Å; $K\alpha_1 = 0.70926$ Å). Cell contents: $4(KVO_3.H_2O)$. Density (calc.) = 2.53 g.cm.⁻³, density (obs.) = 2.52 g.cm.⁻³.

Intensity measurements

For the intensity measurements multiple-film Weissenberg patterns using Mo/Zr radiation were prepared. Three films interleaved with 0.0005 in. Ni foil were used for each exposure. The (hk0) and (hk1) zones were recorded from a prismatic crystal having nearly equidimensional cross section, approximately 0.1×0.1 mm. A comparison strip of intensities was prepared by recording a given reflection from the crystal for varying known lengths of time, using the same experimental set-up and crystal as were used in preparing the Weissenberg patterns. The estimated intensities were converted to $|F_{hkl}|^2$ values through the use of the Lorentz- and polarization-factor tables of Buerger & Klein (1945) for the hk0's and the Lp chart of Cochran (1948) for the hkl's. No attempt was made to correct for absorption effects, which were assumed to be relatively small owing to the small cross-sectional size of the crystal used and to use of Mo $K\alpha$ radiation.

Other considerations

In the initial stages of the analysis the observed and calculated structure factors were related by use of the scaling constant k, where $k\Sigma|F_o| = \Sigma|F_c|$. Subsequently the relation $k|F_o|=|F_c|\exp[-B(\sin^2\theta)/\lambda^2]$ was used to fix the absolute scale of the observed structure factors and the value of the coefficient B of the temperature factor. For the final values of the coordinates, B=1.22 Å² for the (hk0) zone and 0.71 Å² for the (hk1) zone.

The Hartree atomic scattering curve for O^{-2} was used for the oxygen atoms and for the water molecule. For K⁺ a scattering curve corresponding to the Thomas-Fermi values for K for $(\sin \theta)/\lambda \ge 0.1$ Å⁻¹ and smoothed in to f = 18 for $(\sin \theta)/\lambda = 0$ was used. At the beginning of the structural analysis a curve prepared in an analogous fashion for V⁺⁵ was used. It was later found that significant improvement in the agreement between calculated and observed structure factors at small $(\sin \theta)/\lambda$ values was obtained when the Thomas-Fermi values for V were used. The subsequent refinement was made using these values. All values of the scattering factors were taken from the International Tables (1935).

Maxima on the electron-density maps used in determining the structure were located by the method of Booth (1948).

Determination and refinement of the structure

The structural problem consists in determining the parameters of one V, one K, three O, and one H₂O in the positions 4(c) of the space group Pnam (International Tables, 1935). The relatively short c axis suggested the use of the Patterson projection on (001) for the determination of the essential features of this structure, and accordingly this projection was prepared with the $|F_{hk0}|^2$ values on an arbitrary basis and the $|F_{000}|^2$ term omitted. Buerger (1951) has shown how the Patterson projection on (001) for a crystal of similar symmetry and dimensions, berthierite, $FeSb_2S_4$ (*Pnam*, a = 11.44, b = 14.12, c = 3.76 Å, Z = 4), may be converted to an approximate electron-density map through the use of his minimum-function analysis. Buerger's procedure for FeSb₂S₄ was followed for KVO_3 . H_2O and the approximate $\rho_z(x, y)$ map shown in Fig. 2 was obtained.* From this map x and y



Fig. 2. Approximate $\varrho_2(x, y)$ map obtained by the minimumfunction method. The final atomic positions are indicated by the small circles.

coordinates for the two heavy atoms K and V were assigned and structure factors F_{hk0} calculated using the same atomic scattering curve for both atoms, that of K⁺. These coordinates are given in column (1) of

Table 2. Atomic positional parameters for KVO_3 . H_2O

	_		Stage of	refinement*	¢.
	Para- meters†	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) (final)
v	\boldsymbol{x}	0.07	0.073	0.074	0.074
	y	0.08	0.080	0.082	0.082
к	\boldsymbol{x}	-0.23	-0.244	-0.244	-0.244
	\boldsymbol{y}	-0.58	-0.279	-0.278	-0.278
Or	\boldsymbol{x}		-0.003	-0.005	
-	\boldsymbol{y}		0.198	0.192	0.192
011	\boldsymbol{x}		0.267	0.276	0.277
- 11	y	—	0.100	0.095	0.096
0111	\boldsymbol{x}	_	-0.058	-0.046	-0.041
	\boldsymbol{y}	—	-0.042	-0.048	-0.047
H。C) x		0.125	0.119	0.118
4	\boldsymbol{y}	—	0.420	0.414	0.412
Rİ		0.45	0.316	0.159	0.142 (hk0)
···· Ŧ			-	0.194	(hk1)

* See text for description of stage of refinement.

† All atoms in the asymmetric unit at $z = \frac{1}{4}$.

1 Discrepancy factor.

§ Not calculated.

Table 2, which lists the coordinates and the discrepancy factor R found for each stage of the refinement. Signs calculated on the basis of these coordinates permitted the evaluation of $\rho_z(x, y)$ using 87 terms. From this map, coordinates for all the atoms, but not for the water molecule, were assigned and a second $\rho_z(x, y)$ containing 136 terms was calculated. Actually, a peak corresponding to the water molecule appeared on the first $\rho_{i}(x, y)$ map as well as on the approximate $\rho_z(x, y)$ map derived from the Patterson function. As it was believed that the compound was anhydrous, this peak was dismissed as being spurious and was expected to disappear in subsequent electron-density refinement, but at the completion of the second $\rho_{z}(x, y)$ map, it was realized that the peak was real and that the compound was a monohydrate. This was fully verified, first, by the completed structural analysis and subsequently by chemical analysis, as explained previously. The coordinates assigned on the basis of the second $\rho_z(x, y)$ map are given in Table 2, column (2). Two successive $\rho_z(x, y)$ maps were then prepared, the second of which contained $152 F_{hk0}$'s, on an absolute scale, corresponding to all of the nonzero intensities measured. Parameters derived from this last map were then used in fixing the signs of the F_{hkl} 's entering in a bounded electron-density projection described below.

All of the atoms are well resolved in the electrondensity projection $\varrho_z(x, y)$ except V and $O_{\Pi\Pi}$. To obtain parameters for these atoms and to check the parameters of the other atoms, the projection on (001) of the electron density between z = 0 and $z = \frac{1}{2}$ was prepared, following the method of Booth (1948). The expression for the bounded projection of interest here has the form

^{*} With the $|F_{000}|^2$ term omitted it was necessary to contour all the levels of the Patterson map, including the negative ones, in order to finish with a meaningful approximate $\varrho_2(x, y)$ map.

$$S(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{A} \sum_{\substack{h \ k \ k}}^{\infty} \sum_{k} F_{hk0} \cos 2\pi (hx + ky) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n \ k \ k}}^{l=2n+1} \sum_{\substack{n \ k \ k}}^{l=2n+1} \sum_{\substack{k \ n \ k}}^{l=2n+1} \sum_{\substack{n \ k \ k}} \sum_{\substack{n \ k \ k}}^{l=2n+1} \sum_{\substack{n \ k \ k$$

The first sum within the brackets of equation (1) is simply the usual electron-density projection on (001); hence the equation may be rewritten as

$$S(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\varrho_z(x, y) - \frac{2}{\pi A} S'(x, y) \right], \qquad (2)$$

where

$$S'(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{h \ k \\ -\infty}} \sum_{\substack{k \ k \\ -\infty}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{l \ k \\ -\infty}} \frac{F_{hkl}}{l} \sin 2\pi (hx + ky) .$$
(3)

For the space group *Pnam*, equation (3) reduces to

$$\frac{S'(x,y)}{4} = \sum_{h}^{\infty} \sum_{k}^{\infty} F'_{hk} \sin 2\pi hx \cos 2\pi ky + \sum_{h}^{\infty} \sum_{k}^{h+k=2n+1} F'_{hk} \cos 2\pi hx \sin 2\pi ky , \quad (4)$$

where

$$F'_{hk} = \sum_{l}^{\infty} \frac{F_{hkl}}{l} \,.$$

In evaluating S'(x, y) the F_{hkl} values for $l \neq 1$ (l = 2n+1) were derived from the F_{hkl} values in the following way: it was assumed that within a sufficient degree of approximation the shape of the scattering curves of the atoms involved is the same as that of some average reference atom. In the present case the reference scattering curve was taken as the average of the curves of K⁺ and V because these atoms contribute much more than the O atoms to the scattering. If one writes the atomic scattering factor in the form

$$f_i(hkl) = Z_i g(hkl) ,$$

where g(hkl) defines the shape of the reference scattering curve, then for *Pnam* and $z = \frac{1}{4}$ for the atoms of the asymmetric unit, it follows that

$$\frac{F_{hk1}}{g(hk1)} = -\frac{F_{hk3}}{g(hk3)} = \frac{F_{hk5}}{g(hk5)} = \dots = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left[\frac{F_{hkn}}{g(hkn)} \right]$$

The function S'(x, y) was evaluated with the magnitudes of the F_{hkl} 's on an arbitrary scale, the signs being calculated from the atomic parameters obtained from the last $\varrho_z(x, y)$ map. The scale of S'(x, y) was adjusted to make the average electron density of the bounded projection zero in regions where the heavy atoms do not appear in this projection. The parameters derived from this first bounded projection are given in column (3) of Table 2.

The bounded projection used here involves the difference of two separate series, the first a cosine series having as coefficients the F_{hk0} values and the second a sine series with the $(F_{hkl})/l$ values as coefficients. Distortion is introduced into the bounded projection if two series of unequal length are used, i.e. if the cosine and sine series are not terminated at the same value of $(\sin \theta)/\lambda$. A second source of distortion arises if the experimental threshold values of the observed F_{hkl} values are appreciably different for the (hk0) and (hk1) zones, since an inbalance in the number of terms of small magnitude in each of the two series results.

With these facts in mind, a second bounded projection was evaluated. The F_{hkl} values were put in on an absolute basis and no F_{hkl} term for which $(\sin \theta)/\lambda > 0.7 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ was used. For each F_{hkl} observed to be absent, for reflections up to and including $(\sin \theta)/\lambda = 0.7 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, the experimentally determined threshold value was substituted. This second bounded projection (Fig. 3(a)) is relatively free from distortion and considerably improved in this respect over the first one. The $\varrho_z(x, y)$ map used in the preparation of this bounded projection is shown in Fig. 3(b).

Finally, a least-squares analysis of the x and y parameters of O_I, O_{II}, and O_{III} was carried out using unweighted coefficients, and based on the parameters of column (3), Table 2. The final parameters are given



Fig. 3. (a) Projection on (001) of the superimposed electrondensity maps taken between z = 0 and $z = \frac{1}{2}$ and between $z = \frac{1}{2}$ and z = 1 for KVO₃.H₂O. Contoured at intervals of 4 e.Å⁻², with the dotted contour equal to 4 e.Å⁻².

(b) Electron-density projection $\rho_z(x, y)$ for KVO_3 . H_2O . Contoured in same way as (a). in column (4), Table 2. For O_{I} , O_{II} , and O_{III} these were obtained by applying the least-squares corrections. For the K, V, and H₂O parameters, the data of all of the electron-density projections were considered in arriving at the best choice. The standard errors associated with the oxygen atom parameters obtained from the least-squares analysis are very nearly the same for the three atoms; the averages are $\varepsilon_x = 0.016$ Å and $\varepsilon_y = 0.018$ Å. It was assumed that the limiting error in the V and K parameters was that of fixing the peak positions from the electron-density maps. Assuming this to be a maximum of 0.001 in cycles, the corresponding standard errors are $\varepsilon_x = 0.004$ Å and $\varepsilon_{\eta} = 0.007$ Å. The above errors lead to standard errors in the bond lengths of approximately ± 0.02 Å for V–O and K–O bonds and ± 0.03 Å for O–O bonds. The corresponding error in O-V-O bond angles is about $\pm 1^{\circ}$. The precise positioning of the H₂O molecule was not considered to be of any real importance; the standard errors of its x and y parameters are of the order of 0.03 Å.

Throughout the course of the structure analysis, plots of R' versus $\sin \theta$, as suggested by Luzzati (1952), were found to be very helpful in deciding whether the structure was converging. Such a plot, based on the final parameters and compared with the corresponding theoretical curves of Luzzati, indicates a maximum mean error in bond length, $|\overline{\Delta r}| = 0.04$ Å, entirely in agreement with the least-squares results.

Description and discussion of the structure

A pictorial view of the structure of KVO_3 . H_2O is given in Fig. 5, and a projected view in Fig. 4(*a*). It is seen





Fig. 4. (a) Structure of KVO_3 . H_2O projected on (001). (b) Structure of V_2O_5 projected on (001) (after Byström *et al.*, 1950).



Fig. 5. Pictorial view of KVO3. H2O.

that each vanadium atom is linked to five oxygen atoms to form a distorted trigonal dipyramid. The trigonal dipyramidal polyhedra share edges to form continuous chains parallel to the c axis, accounting for the observed pronounced fibrous cleavage. The coordination of oxygen atoms around the vanadium atoms is shown in detail in Fig. 6; the corresponding



Fig. 6. Details of vanadium-oxygen coordination for KVO_3 . H_2O .

V-O bond lengths and angles and other important bond lengths and angles in the structure are listed in Table 3. As shown in the projected view of Fig. 4(a),

Table 3. Bond lengths and bond angles for KVO₃. H₂O

Bond leng V-O _I V-O _{II} V-O _{III} V-O _{III} (V-O bonds	ths (Å) 1-63 1-67 1-93 (2) 1-99 ±0-02 Å)	Bond angles $O_{I}-V-O_{II}$ $O_{I}-V-O_{III}$ $O_{II}-V-O_{III}$ $O_{II}-V-O_{III}$ $O_{I}-V-O_{III}$ $O_{I}-V-O_{III}$ $O_{I}-V-O_{III}$	(°) 106 128 124 147 100 100
O _I -O _{II} O _I -O _{ÍII} O _{II} -O _{ÍII} O _{III} -O _{ÍII} (O-O bonds	2·64 2·73 2·75 2·34 (2) ±0·03 Å)	(an ±1)	
K-O _I K-O _{II} K-H ₂ O (K-O bond	2.98 (2), 2.79 (2) 3.10 (2) 2.79 (2) s ± 0.02 Å; K-H ₂ O be	onds ± 0.04 Å)	

V–V 3·14±0·02

 O_{I} , O_{II} , and O_{III} lie at the vertices of a triangle containing the vanadium atom, which is displaced away from the center of the triangle toward the edge $O_{I}O_{II}$. The V-O bonds lying in the triangle are: V- $O_{I} =$ 1.63, V- $O_{II} = 1.67$, V- $O_{III} = 1.99$ Å. The plane defined as that plane containing the vanadium atoms and parallel to the *c* axis also contains the O_{III} atoms. If the bond lengths V- O_{I} and V- O_{II} and the bond angles O_{I} -V- O_{III} and O_{II} -V- O_{III} were the same, respectively, this plane would then be a plane of symmetry. The lengths V- O_{I} and V- O_{II} were found to be 1.63 ± 0.02 and 1.67 ± 0.02 Å, respectively. A rough statistical calculation shows that there is high probability that the $V-O_{II}$ bond is truly longer than the V-O_I bond. This conclusion is supported by the difference in bond angles found. It seems probable, therefore, that the chain does not conform exactly to a plane of symmetry. Detailed examination of the structure shows that the O_I-K and O_{II}-K lengths are different: $K-O_I$ is 2.79 ± 0.02 Å, and $K-O_{II}$ is $3 \cdot 10 \pm 0.02$ Å. Thus it seems likely that the small departures from planar symmetry in the chain are due to packing effects, which result in overall lowering of the lattice energy. The O_{III} - O'_{III} distance of 2.34 Å is rather short and results from strong polarization of these atoms by the vanadium atoms. This postulated polarization is in agreement with the experimental observation that the atomic scattering curve for neutral vanadium gave better results than that for V^{+5} .

Fivefold coordination in crystals is rare. The only analogous situation seems to be that of V_2O_5 (Byström, Wilhelmi & Brotzen, 1950). In this compound there is a definitely similar distorted trigonal dipyramidal polyhedral chain linkage, as may be seen by comparing Fig. 4(*a*) and (*b*). The chains as found for KVO₃. H₂O are further linked in V_2O_5 through oxygen atoms to form the more condensed system. The bond lengths of interest in the two compounds are compared in Table 4. For what has here been designated the V-O_I

Table 4. Comparison of bond lengths for KVO_3 . $H_2O_and V_2O_5$

ŀ	$VO_3.H_2O$	V_2O_5
$V-O_I$	1·63 A	1.77 ± 0.03 Å
$V-O_{\Pi}$	1.67	1.54 ± 0.06
$v_{-O_{III}}$	1.93	1.88 ± 0.04
$V-O_{III}$	1.99	2.02 ± 0.08
0-0-7	9.64	9.69
	2.04	2.03
0I-OIII	2.13	2.70
$O_{II} - O_{III}$	2.75	2.73
Οπι-Οίπ	2.34	2.39

bond, the length in V_2O_5 (1.77 Å) is significantly longer than that in KVO₃. H₂O (1.63 Å). This difference is to be expected because in V_2O_5 it is the O_I atom that links the chains together to form sheets. The bond in V_2O_5 corresponding to the V-O_{II} bond of KVO_3 , H_2O is short, being only 1.54 Å in length, and must therefore be highly polarized. The differences in the configurations of the chains of the two compounds are such that, despite the much smaller V-O bond length in V_2O_5 , the smallest O–O separations are nearly the same for the two compounds. The other bonds common to the two compounds have very nearly the same lengths. It is interesting that V_2O_5 is yellow red, whereas KVO_3 , H_2O is colorless. It is difficult to decide what differences in the bonding of the two compounds lead to this difference of absorption in the visible spectrum. It is hoped that the results of crystalstructure analysis of other vanadates being made in this and other laboratories will permit this question to be resolved later.

The K^+ of KVO_3 . H_2O is surrounded by six oxygen

Table 5. Observed and calculated structure factors hk0 and hk1

Values of F_c for hk0 are based on the atomic coordinates of column (4), Table 2; those for hk1 on the coordinates of column (3), Table 2.

ыю л	, 7 e		ыю г о	P _c		ыю г о	7 0		200	7 0	7.		ыю г о	r .		ыю г	•	7 ₀		
200 15 200 15 400 55 600 66 10,0,0 7 12,0,0 16 000 100,0 000 100,0 0,10,0 7 0,11,0 25 0,12,0 28 0,12,0 28 0,12,0 28 0,12,0 28 0,12,0 28 0,12,0 28 1100 56 1120 11 1130 12 1130 12 1130 12 1130 12 1130 12 1130 12 1130 12 114,00 14 11,13,00 14 11,130,01 14 11,130,01 14 11,20,01 14,20,01 11,22,01 14,22,01	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \mathbf{x}_{k$	222222222222222222222222222222222222222	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.4 \\ -46.4 \\ -783.5 \\ -733.5 \\ -43.5 \\ -733.5 \\ $		20,0 20,0	$\begin{array}{c} 3,6\\ 5,6\\ -26,6\\ -26,6\\ -76,9\\ -76,9\\ -41,9,7\\ -42,9,7\\ -42,2\\ -8,2,9\\ -42,2\\ -8,2,9\\ -7,1\\ -8,2,7\\ -8,2,7\\ -1,2,2\\ -8,2,7\\ -1,2,2\\ -8,2,7\\ -1,2,2\\ -8,2,2\\ -1,2,2\\ -8,$.19.0 1 .20.0 1 .20	9,2 11,5 12,3 9,7,7 11,5 22,8 20,7 11,5 22,8 20,7 11,5 25,6 6,7,7 11,5 25,6 6,7,7 11,5 25,6 6,7,7 11,5 25,6 6,7,7 11,5 25,6 11,5 20,9 20,7 20,7 20,7 20,7 20,7 20,7 20,7 20,7	$\begin{array}{c} {}_{5,22}\\ {}_{2,2}\\ {}_{4,6}\\ {}_{5,7}\\ {}_{5,1}\\ {}_{5,1}\\ {}_{6,1,2}\\ {}_{2,5,7}\\ {}_{5,5,5}\\ {}_{5,7,9,7}\\ {}_{2,1,9,5}\\ {}_{2,1,7,9,7,9,7,9,7,9,7,9,7,9,7,9,7,9,7,9,7$		810 11.5 820 29.2 830 20.2 850 11.3 870 11.3 870 11.3 870 11.7 8,11.0 17.7 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.3 8,11.0 14.4 9,11.0 12.7 9,20 22.1 9,30 7.7 9,11.0 7.7 9,11.0 7.7 9,11.0 7.2 9,11.0 7.2 9,11.0 15.9 9,11.0 15.9 9,110,0 2.2 10,30,0 2.3 10,40,0 8.2 10,40,0 8.2 10,50,0 8.2 10,50,0 8.2	$\begin{array}{c} 12.8 \\ -38.5 \\ -38.7 \\ -38.7 \\ -39.7 \\ -39.7 \\ -39.6 \\ $		0,9,0 15 0,10,0 0,11,0 11 0,12,0 0,13,0 0,13,0 0,13,0 0,13,0 0,13,0 1,13,0 12 1,13,0 1,13,0 1,13,0 1,13,0 1,13,0 1,13,0 1,13,0 2,1,0 1,13,0 2,2,0 2,2,0 2,2,0 2,2,0 2,2,0 2,2,0 3,2,0 2,2,0 3,2,0 3,2,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,3,0 3,5,	.6 .8 .3 .7 .1 .7 .7	-18,5,2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hat 1, 22 221 221 221 221 221 221 221	1 P. 4. 1. 12.4. 1. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 12.4. 13.4. 14.4. 1	7 6 10.7 12.5 77.2 465.13 37.7 49.2 21.14 37.7 49.2 21.14 17.7 49.2 21.14 17.7 49.2 21.14 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.6 17.7 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.7 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.7 17.6 17.6	hk 1 3.16,11 3.17,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 3.19,11 4.11,1	F 6.1.8.8 9.7 1.8 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4	$ F_{g} = -113.8 \\ -113.8 \\ -10.4 \\ -25.2 \\ -26.7 \\ -36.5 \\ -6.3 \\ -46.1 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -7.7 \\ -15.7 \\ -24.6 \\ -15.1 \\ -7.7 \\ -15.1 \\ -24.6 \\ -15.1 \\ -15.1 \\ -24.6 \\ -15.1 \\ -24.5 \\ -38.4 \\ -15.1 \\ -25.3 \\ -25.5 \\ -38.4 \\ -25.5 \\$	k11 5,5361,1 5,5361,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 5,5161,1 1,1 6,51151,1 1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1 1,1	70 8.6 8.6 16.2 14.6 62.6 13.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 2	F c 82.26 1.1.8.4 1.2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1		ht 1 811 821 821 821 821 821 821 82	Fo 24.3 15.1 46.5 46.5 46.5 46.5 47.5 17.3 10.8 22.8 24.8 15.1 40.8 22.8 24.8 15.1 10.8 22.8 24.8 15.1 10.8 22.8 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.6 24.5 15.1 10.7 24.5 15.1 10.7 24.5 15.1 10.7 24.5 15.1 10.7 24.5 15.1 10.7 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 15.1 24.5 24.5 15.1 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5	Fe 936.2 - 6.3.3 - 94.3 - 94.0 - 23.3 - 94.0 - 24.0 - 2	hk1 10,9,1 10,9,1 10,11,1 10,11,1 10,12,1 10,13,1 10,14,1 10,14,1 10,14,1 11,2,	Po 17.8 14.0 17.3 13.5 8.6 10.8 25.9 12.4 7.6 10.8 8.7 8.1 9.2 12.4 17.3 19.4 9.2 12.4 17.3 12.4 17.4 12.4 17.3 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 13.5 13.5 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.8 10.9 10.4 10	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{c}} = & -12.2, \\ -2.2, \\ -2.6, \\ -3.5, \\ -9.5, \\ -9.5, \\ -12.1, \\ -1.1, \\ -2.1,$		ht 1 33,41 33,41 33,41 33,41 33,10,1 14,1,1 14,2,1 14,2,1 14,2,1 14,2,1 14,2,1 15,	F ₀ 13.5 13.0 14.6 9.2 10.8 9.2 9.2 10.8 13.0 13.0 egistered	Fe 6.1.1 15.4 - 8.2.2 -21.1.1 -6.5 -6.5 -6.1.1 -6.5 -1.1.2 -7.1.1 18.2 0.3 -7.1.1 -1.1.2 -7.1.1 -1.1.2 -7.1.1 -1.1.2 -7.1.1 -1.1.2 -7.1.1 -1.1.2 -7.1.1

atoms and two water molecules in roughly cubic coordination with the K-O bond lengths given in Table 3.

Comparisons of the observed and calculated structure factors for the zones (hk0) and (hk1) are listed in Table 5.

We are indebted to two colleagues of the U.S. Geological Survey: Richard F. Marvin, who furnished material for chemical analysis, and George B. Magin, Jr., who made the analysis. This work was completed as part of a program undertaken by the U.S. Geological Survey on behalf of the Division of Raw Materials of the Atomic Energy Commission.

References

BOOTH, A. D. (1948). Fourier Technique in X-Ray Organic Structure Analysis. Cambridge: University Press.

- BUERGER, M. J. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 531.
- BUERGER, M. J. & KLEIN, G. E. (1945). J. Appl. Phys. 16, 416.
- BYSTRÖM, A., WILHELMI K.-A. & BROTZEN, O. (1950). Acta Chem. Scand. 4, 1119.
- CHRIST, C. L., CLARK, J. R. & EVANS, H. T., Jr. (1953). J. Chem. Phys. 21, 1114.
- COCHRAN, W. (1948). J. Sci. Instrum. 25, 253.
- DUCRET, L.-P. (1951). Ann. Chim. Phys. (12), 6, 705.
- DÜLLBERG, P. (1903). Z. phys. Chem. 45, 129.
- FOCK, A. (1889). Z. Kristallogr. 17, 1.
- International Tables for the Determination of Crystal Structures (1935). Berlin: Borntraeger.
- JANDER, G. & JAHR, K. F. (1933). Z. anorg. Chem. 212, 1.
- LUZZATI, V. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 802.
- NORBLAD, J.-A. (1875). Bull. Soc. Chim. (2), 23, 64.
- SOUCHAY, P. & CARPENI, G. (1946). Bull. Soc. Chim. (5), 13, 160.